UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,439	01/19/2001	Kazuma Kaneko	401022	7079	
23548 LEYDIG VOI	7590 11/05/2007 Γ& MAYER, LTD		EXAMINER		
700 THIRTEE			NGUYE	NGUYEN, LE V	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. 09/764,439 Le V. Nguyen	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KANEKO ET AL. Art Unit	<i>N</i>
Document Code - AP.PRE	2174		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Reque	est for Review filed <u>8/30/2007</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Request is imreason(s): 	nproper and a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been file ☐ The request does not include reasons ☐ A proposed amendment is included w ☐ Other: . 				
The time period for filing a response continue the mail date of the last Office communication	s to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from n, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.			
held. The application remains under appeal b is required to submit an appeal brief in accord brief will be reset to be one month from mailing running from the receipt of the notice of appear	and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ecause there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appealing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period al, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 6 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date			
☐ The panel has determined the status Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6, 11-12, 14-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
3. Allowable application – A conference Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time.	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
 Reopen Prosecution – A conference haction will be mailed. No further action is req All participants: 	nas been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office uired by applicant at this time. WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER			
(1) <u>Le V. Nguyen</u> .	(3) William Bashore (Assistant Pre-Appeals Spec.).			

(4)_____.

(2) John Cabeca (SPE).